



AI and Optimization for Sustainable Applications

Nysret Musliu

Christian Doppler Laboratory for Artificial Intelligence and Optimization for Planning and Scheduling Institute of Logic and Computation, DBAI Faculty of Informatics, TU Wien









Outline

- Sustainable applications
 - Employee planning and scheduling
 - Production planning and scheduling
 - Food waste reduction
- AI and optimization techniques
 - Modeling
 - Exact, heuristic and hybrid techniques
 - Machine learning
 - Supervised learning
 - Reinforcement learning
- Conclusions

Investigated Applications in our Lab

Rotating Workforce Scheduling Shift Design Break Scheduling Nurse Rostering Torpedo Scheduling Electric Vehicle Charging Tourist Trip Planning Social Golfer Problem High School Timetabling Production Leveling Problem Parallel Machine Scheduling Industrial Oven Scheduling Physician Scheduling During a Pandemic

Unicost Set Covering (Hyper)tree Decomposition Graph Coloring Traveling Salesman Problem Vehicle Routing Sudoku Bus Driver Scheduling Test Laboratory Scheduling Artificial Teeth Production Scheduling Project Scheduling Paint Shop Scheduling Problem Curriculum-based Course Timetabling Food Waste Reduction





https://www.un.org/en/sustainable-development-goals

- Work schedules influence the lives of employees
- Unsuitable timetable can have a tremendous negative impact on one's health, social life, and motivation at work
- Organizations in the commercial and public sector must meet their workforce requirements and ensure the quality of their services and operations

Real world employee scheduling problems appear in many companies

Airports

Call centers

Air traffic control

Hospitals

Public transport

Production plants

Employee Scheduling



Employee Scheduling Problems



Phase 1: Workforce requirements

Employee Scheduling Problems



Phase 1: Workforce requirements

Phase 2: Shift Design/Break Scheduling

Employee Scheduling Problems



Phase 1: Workforce requirements

Phase 2: Shift Design/Break Scheduling

Phase 3: Assignment of shifts



Selected papers: [3,4,11,12]

Example: Rotating Workforce Scheduling

Length of schedule: If the schedule is cyclic the total length of a planning period will be: NumberOfEmployees*7



Constraints



Not allowed sequences of shifts:

| N = D | |
|-------|--|
| ND | |
| AD | |
| NA | |
| N - A | |
| A-D | |

Maximum and minimum length of periods of successive shifts. e.g.: N: 2-5, D: 2-6

Maximum and minimum length of work days and days-off blocks e.g.: days-off block: 2-4 work block: 2-6 Find a cyclic schedule (assignment of shifts to employees) that satisfies the temporal requirement, and all other constraints

Possible soft constraints:

Optimization of free weekends (weekends off)

•

Production Planning and Scheduling/Project Scheduling

- In these applications it is important to
 - Reduce resource consumption, including energy
 - Increase production efficiency

| Project 1 | |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| Job 1 (Tasks 1, 2, 3, 5) | S; E1, E2; TB5; EQ4 S; E1, E2 |
| Job 2 (Task 4) | |
| Job 3 (Tasks 6, 7) | E3; TB1; EQ8, EQ9 E3 |
| Project 2 | |
| Job 4 (Tasks 8, 9, 10, 11) | S; E1, E4; TB1 S; E1, E4 |
| Job 5 (Task 12) | E2; TB1 E2 |
| | |

. . .



https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: MOS6581_chtaube061229.jpg, Christian Taube CC BY-SA 2.5



https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Reflow_oven.jpg, Nelatan CC BY-SA 3.0

| | | | R3 | |
|---|---|---|----|--|
| 1 | A | A | С | |
| 2 | Α | A | С | |
| 3 | A | С | С | |
| 4 | В | В | В | |
| 5 | В | В | В | |

Test Laboratory Scheduling



Industrial Oven Scheduling



https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: MOS6581_chtaube061229.jpg, Christian Taube CC BY-SA 2.5



https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/File: Reflow_oven.jpg, Nelatan CC BY-SA 3.0

Task: Jobs need to be scheduled and batched efficiently for processing in ovens

Challenge: Many constraints and solution objectives need to be considered

Selected papers: [8]

Other real-world problems...



Torpedo Scheduling, ACP Challenge, 2016

. . .

Machine 1: $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 11 \quad 4 \quad 5 \quad 6 \rightarrow 7$ Machine 2: $8 \rightarrow 9 \rightarrow 10 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 12$

Parallel Machine Scheduling

| | R1 | <i>R2</i> | <i>R3</i> | |
|---|----|-----------|-----------|--|
| 1 | A | A | С | |
| 2 | A | A | С | |
| 3 | A | С | С | |
| 4 | В | В | В | |
| 5 | В | В | В | |

Paint Shop Scheduling

Selected papers: [6, 7, 9,10]

The General Obstacle

- NP-hard (intractable) problems
- No efficient algorithms could be found yet
- P problems can be solved efficiently (in polynomial time)
- P ≠ NP ? (Millennium Prize Problem)

Tremendous size of the search space of possible solutions

Example: 12 employees, 1 week, 4 shifts



https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/NP-hardness



4⁸⁴

- APPETITE project aims to reduce food waste in retail environment
- Motivated by current waste of food
- Utilize integration of
 - Artificial Intelligence (AI) based prognosis algorithms
 - Logistical optimizations
- Collaboration of
 - TU Wien, Fraunhofer, WU Wien, IT-PS, Invenium, Kastner, Metro, Spar

Goals

- Reduce food waste through
 - Utilization of advanced forecasting methods (using AI)
 - Integration of forecasts into ordering process
- Advanced forecasting using Supervised Learning
- Use provided sales and demand data for forecasting
 - Utilize weather and movement data to improve forecasts
- Empirically evaluate forecasting
 - Depending on algorithms chosen
 - Depending on input dataset(s) provided

Input Data

- Internal data
 - Product data (and "related" products)
 - Product acquisition data
 - Sales data and promotions
- External data
 - Weather data
 - Movement data (from cellular network)
- Contextual data
 - Day of the week, holidays, ...

Current Status of APPETITE Project

- Area analysis for the locations has been finished
- Weather and movement data are being gathered
- Data preprocessing and dataset creation is being finalized
- Large-scale evaluation of performance across different datasets



Days since 01.01.2019

Current work: Platform implementation

AI and Optimization Techniques

Research work in the CD-Lab Artis

Existing problems

New challenging problems provided by the industry

- Formal mathematical formulations
- Identification of related problems in the literature
- Complexity analysis
- General variants of problems
- New problem instances provided to the literature

- Modelling techniques
- AI/Optimization solving techniques
- Meta/Hyperheuristics
- Hybrid algorithms
- Algorithm selection and instance space analysis

Complete approaches

Mathematical programming Constraint programming Answer set programming SAT/SMT

Heuristic techniques

Tabu Search Simulated Annealing Evolutionary Strategies Memetic Algorithms

Hybrid methods

Large Neighborhood Search Hyper-heuristics Machine learning based approaches

...

. . .

Modeling approaches

- Mathematical Programming
 - Solvers: Gurobi, CPLEX, SCIP, ...
- Constraint Programming
 - Solvers: OR-Tools, Chuffed, CP Optimizer...
 - The MiniZinc challenge: https://www.minizinc.org/challenge.html
- Answer Set Programming
 - Solvers: Potassco (the Potsdam Answer Set Solving Collection), DLV, ...
- SAT
 - Solvers: <u>http://www.satcompetition.org/</u>

Rotating workforce scheduling: A constraint model

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k \in 0}^{u_w} (T_{t(j+k)} = O) > 0, \quad j \in TT & (1) \\ \sum_{k \in 1}^{l_w} (T_{t(j+k)} = O) = 0, \quad j \in TT, T_j = O \land T_{t(j+1)} \neq O & (2) \\ \sum_{k \in 0}^{u_O} (T_{t(j+k)} \neq O) > 0, \quad j \in TT & (3) \\ \sum_{k \in 1}^{l_O} (T_{t(j+k)} \neq O) = 0, \quad j \in TT, T_j \neq O \land T_{t(j+1)} = O & (4) \\ \sum_{k \in 0}^{u_{sh}} (T_{t(j+k)} \neq sh) > 0, \quad j \in TT, sh \in \mathbf{A} & (5) \\ \sum_{k \in 1}^{l_{sh}} (T_{t(j+k)} \neq sh) = 0, \quad j \in TT, sh \in \mathbf{A}, T_j \neq sh \land T_{t(j+1)} = sh & (6) \\ T_j = sh_1 \to T_{t(j+1)} \neq sh_2, \quad j \in TT, (sh_1, sh_2) \in F_2 & (7) \\ T_j = sh_1 \land T_{t(j+1)} = O \to T_{t(j+2)} \neq sh_2, \quad j \in TT, (sh_1, sh_2) \in F_3 & (8) \\ \sum_{i \in 1..n} (S_{i,j} = sh) = R_{sh,j}, \quad j \in 1..w, sh \in \mathbf{A} & (9) \\ \end{split}$$

Selected papers: [11, 13]

Alternative model: global constraints for (9) and (10) $gcc_low_up([S_{i,j}|i \in 1..n], \mathbf{A}, [R_{sh,j}|sh \in \mathbf{A}], [R_{sh,j}|sh \in \mathbf{A}])$ (11) $gcc_low_up([S_{i,j}|i \in 1..n], \mathbf{A}^+, [R_{sh,j}|sh \in \mathbf{A}^+], [R_{sh,j}|sh \in \mathbf{A}^+])$ (12)

Example MIP: Parallel Machine Scheduling

minimise $Lex(\Sigma_{j \in J}(T_j), C_{max})$, subject to

 $\Sigma_{m \in M}(Y_{j,m}) = 1, \forall j \in J$

$$\Sigma_{i \in J_0, i \neq j}(X_{i,j,m}) = Y_{j,m}, \forall j \in J, m \in M$$

 $\Sigma_{j \in J_0, i \neq j}(X_{i,j,m}) = Y_{i,m}, \forall i \in J, m \in M$

$$C_j \ge C_i + s_{i,j,m} + p_{j,m} + V \cdot (X_{i,j,m} - 1),$$

$$\forall i \in J_0, j \in J, m \in M$$

 $\Sigma_{j \in J}(X_{0,j,m}) \le 1, \forall m \in M$

$$\Sigma_{i \in J_{0}, j \in J, i \neq j}(s_{i,j,m} \cdot X_{i,j,m}) + \Sigma_{i \in J}(p_{i,m} \cdot Y_{i,m} + s_{i,0,m} \cdot X_{i,0,m}) \leq C_{max},$$
$$\forall m \in M$$

$$T_j \ge C_j - d_j, \forall j \in J$$

Selected papers: [10]

 $T_j \ge 0, \forall j \in J$



Local Search Techniques

Are based on the neighbourhood of the current solution



 The solution is changed iteratively with so called neighbourhood relations (moves) until an acceptable or optimal solution is reached

- 1. Construct the initial solution s
- 2. Generate neighbourhood N(s) of solution s
- 3. Select from the neighbourhood the descendant of the current solution
- 4. Go to step 2

Advanced metaheuristic techniques

- Simulated Annealing
- Tabu Search
- Large Neighborhood Search

Metaheuristics include a mechanism to escape local optima

Neighborhoods

| | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Sun |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| А | | D | D | А | D | D | |
| в | | D | А | N | R | N | D |
| C | D | | N | D | D | A | N |
| D | N | | | | A | | A |
| Е | D | N | D | | | А | D |
| F | N | A | А | D | A | | |
| G | D | D | А | А | N | 2 | |
| н | | | | А | A | D | N |
| I | A | N | | | | | А |
| J | A | N | N | N | | | |
| К | N | А | N | D | N | | |
| L | A | А | D | N | N | | |

| | Mon | Tue | Wed | Thu | Fri | Sat | Sun |
|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| A | | D | D | A | D | D | |
| в | | D | A | N | R | N | D |
| C | D | | N | D | D | А | N |
| D | N | | | | A | | A |
| E | D | N | D | | | А | D |
| F | N | А | А | D | A | | |
| G | D | D | А | A | N | N | |
| н | | | | А | A | D | N |
| I | A | N | | | | | А |
| J | А | N | N | N | | | |
| К | N | A | N | D | N | | |
| L | A | A | D | N | N | | |





(a) Before Move Application



Machine 2:



(b) After Move Application

Selected papers: [10,14,3]

Memetic Algorithms: Crossover



Selected papers: [16]

Hybrid techniques



 Usually several search algorithms are available for solving a particular problem

No free lunch theorem

- "...for any algorithm, any elevated performance over one class of problems is offset by performance over another class" [1]
- "...any two algorithms are equivalent when their performance is averaged across all possible problems" [2]

How to select the best algorithm for a specific problem instance?

- [1] David Wolpert, William G. Macready: No free lunch theorems for optimization. IEEE Transac. Evolutionary Computation 1(1): 67-82 (1997)
- [2] Wolpert, D.H., and Macready, W.G. (2005) "Coevolutionary free lunches," IEEE Transac. on Evolutionary Computation, 9(6): 721-735

Algorithm Selection



Many success stories

Planning and Scheduling, Routing, Combinatorial Auctions, SAT, TSP, Graph Coloring, Tree Decomposition, Timetabling, ... Selected papers: [15,17,18] Input (see [8] and [9]):

- Problem space P that represents the set of instances of a problem class
- A feature space F that contains measurable characteristics of the instances generated by a computational feature extraction process applied to P
- Set A of all considered algorithms for tackling the problem
- The performance space Y represents the mapping of each algorithm to a set of performance metrics

Problem:

For a given problem instance $x \in P$, with features $f(x) \in F$, find the selection mapping S(f(x)) into algorithm space, such that the selected algorithm $a \in A$ maximizes the performance mapping $y(a(x)) \in Y$

[8] John R. Rice: The Algorithm Selection Problem. <u>Advances in Computers 15</u>: 65-118 (1976)
[9] Kate Smith-Miles: Cross-disciplinary perspectives on meta-learning for algorithm selection. <u>ACM Comput. Surv. 41</u>(1): (2008)
Supervised machine learning: Classification

| NrClauses | NrVariables | Best Algorithm |
|-----------|-------------|--------------------|
| 100 | 80 | Alg1 |
| 4000 | 400 | Alg1 |
| 30000 | 8500 | Alg3 |
| 300 | 78 | Alg2 |
| 2000 | 540 | Alg3 |
| 10000 | 450 | ? |
| | | |

Example: SAT problem

 $F(x) = (x_{17} \lor \bar{x}_{37} \lor x_{73}) \land (\bar{x}_{11} \lor \bar{x}_{12}) \land \dots \land (\bar{x}_{2} \lor x_{43} \lor x_{22})$

| NrClauses | NrVariables | Alg1: Time (sec) |
|-----------|-------------|-------------------------|
| 100 | 80 | 10 |
| 4000 | 400 | 450 |
| 30000 | 8500 | 2350 |
| 300 | 78 | 25 |
| 2000 | 540 | 170 |
| 10000 | 450 | ? |
| | | |

Supervised machine learning techniques

- Decision/Regression Trees
- Random Forest
- Bayesian Networks
- Neural Networks

Automated Machine Learning:

- Process of automating of machine learning when applied to a data set
- Automated optimization of hyperparameters
- Automated algorithm selection
- Automated feature selection, preprocessing...

Instance Space Analysis and Algorithm Selection



K. Smith-Miles, D. Baatar, B. Wreford, and R. Lewis. Towards objective measures of algorithm performance across instance space. Comput. Oper. Res., 45:12–24, 2014.

Rotating Workforce Scheduling



Course timetabling



Reinforcement learning



Sutton and Barto. Reinforcement Learning, 2018

Hyper-heuristics

Hyper-heuristic

Collect and manage domain-independent information : number of heuristics, changes in evaluation function, a new solution or not, distance between two solutions, etc.



Burke, Edmund et al. The Cross-Domain Heuristic Search Challenge - An International Research Competition. 2011.

Reinforcement learning for Hyper-heuristics



Conclusions

- Many problems within sustainable applications are still solved manually
- AI and optimization offer tremendous potential for further improving solutions in these domains
- Combination of AI and optimization techniques is crucial

Success stories:

- Test lab scheduling
- Workforce scheduling
- Machine scheduling
- Oven scheduling
- Educational timetabling

Conclusions

- Many problems within sustainable applications are still solved manually
- AI and optimization offer tremendous potential for further improving solutions in these domains
- Combination of AI and optimization techniques is crucial

Challenges

- Domain specific solutions
- New challenging/large-scale problems
- The availability of data is critical for machine learning
 - Usually, most of the time is invested in the collection and preparation of the data

Co-Authors/Selected References

- 1) Philipp Danzinger, Tobias Geibinger, David Janneau, Florian Mischek, Nysret Musliu, Christian Poschalko: A System for Automated Industrial Test Laboratory Scheduling. ACM Trans. Intell. Syst. Technol. 14(1): 3:1-3:27 (2023)
- 2) Lucas Kletzander, Nysret Musliu: Solving the general employee scheduling problem. Comput. Oper. Res. 113 (2020)
- 3) Nysret Musliu, Andrea Schaerf, Wolfgang Slany: Local search for shift design. Eur. J. Oper. Res. 153(1): 51-64 (2004)
- Andreas Beer, Johannes G\u00e4rtner, Nysret Musliu, Werner Schafhauser, Wolfgang Slany: An AI-Based Break-Scheduling System for Supervisory Personnel. IEEE Intell. Syst. 25(2): 60-73 (2010)
- 5) Florian Mischek, Nysret Musliu: A local search framework for industrial test laboratory scheduling. Ann. Oper. Res. 302(2): 533-562 (2021)
- 6) Felix Winter, Nysret Musliu: Constraint-based Scheduling for Paint Shops in the Automotive Supply Industry. ACM Trans. Intell. Syst. Technol. 12(2): 17:1-17:25 (2021)
- 7) Felix Winter, Nysret Musliu, Emir Demirovic, Christoph Mrkvicka: Solution Approaches for an Automotive Paint Shop Scheduling Problem. ICAPS 2019: 573-581
- Marie-Louise Lackner, Christoph Mrkvicka, Nysret Musliu, Daniel Walkiewicz, Felix Winter: Minimizing Cumulative Batch Processing Time for an Industrial Oven Scheduling Problem. CP 2021: 37:1-37:18
- 9) Martin Josef Geiger, Lucas Kletzander, Nysret Musliu: Solving the Torpedo Scheduling Problem. Journal of Artificial Intelligence Research. Vol 66: 1-32, 2019
- 10) Maximilian Moser, Nysret Musliu, Andrea Schaerf, Felix Winter: Exact and metaheuristic approaches for unrelated parallel machine scheduling. J. Sched. 25(5): 507-534 (2022)

Co-Authors/Selected References

- 11. Nysret Musliu, Andreas Schutt, Peter J. Stuckey: Solver Independent Rotating Workforce Scheduling. CPAIOR 2018: 429-445
- 12. Nysret Musliu, Johannes Gärtner, Wolfgang Slany:Efficient generation of rotating workforce schedules. Discret. Appl. Math. 118(1-2): 85-98 (2002)
- 13. Lucas Kletzander, Nysret Musliu, Johannes Gärtner, Thomas Krennwallner, Werner Schafhauser: Exact Methods for Extended Rotating Workforce Scheduling Problems. ICAPS 2019: 519-527
- 14. Nysret Musliu: Combination of Local Search Strategies for Rotating Workforce Scheduling Problem. IJCAI 2005: 1529-1530
- 15. Michael Abseher, Nysret Musliu, Stefan Woltran: Improving the Efficiency of Dynamic Programming on Tree Decompositions via Machine Learning. J. Artif. Intell. Res. 58: 829-858 (2017)
- 16. Magdalena Widl, Nysret Musliu: The break scheduling problem: complexity results and practical algorithms. Memetic Comput. 6(2): 97-112 (2014)
- 17. Simon Strassl, Nysret Musliu: Instance space analysis and algorithm selection for the job shop scheduling problem. Comput. Oper. Res. 141: 105661 (2022)
- Arnaud De Coster, Nysret Musliu, Andrea Schaerf, Johannes Schoisswohl, Kate Smith-Miles: Algorithm selection and instance space analysis for curriculum-based course timetabling. J. Sched. 25(1): 35-58 (2022)
- 19. Lucas Kletzander, Nysret Musliu, Kate Smith-Miles: Instance space analysis for a personnel scheduling problem. Ann. Math. Artif. Intell. 89(7): 617-637 (2021)
- 20. Lucas Kletzander, Nysret Musliu: Hyper-Heuristics for Personnel Scheduling Domains. ICAPS 2022: 462-470
- 21. Florian Mischek, Nysret Musliu: Reinforcement Learning for Cross-Domain Hyper-Heuristics. IJCAI 2022: 4793-4799
- 22. Lucas Kletzander and Nysret Musliu. Large-state reinforcement learning for hyper-heuristics. Proceedings of the 37th AAAI Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 2023.